

No.

8800122



# THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

**The Ohio State University,  
Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center**

Whereas, THERE HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO THE

**Secretary of Agriculture**

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF *eighteen* YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT VARIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT. UNITED STATES SEED OF THIS VARIETY (1) SHALL BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS OF CERTIFIED SEED AND (2) SHALL CONFORM TO THE NUMBER OF GENERATIONS OF THE OWNER OF THE RIGHTS. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

WHEAT

'Dynasty'

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D. C. this 28th day of April in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and eighty-nine.

Attest:

*Kenneth A. Evans*  
Commissioner  
Plant Variety Protection Office  
Agricultural Marketing Service

*Clayton Yentler*  
Secretary of Agriculture

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 0581-0055

## APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION CERTIFICATE

(Instructions on reverse)

Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held confidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).

1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S) The Ohio State University, Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center		2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OH265	3. VARIETY NAME Dynasty
4. ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Code) 1680 Madison Ave. Wooster, OH 44691		5. PHONE (Include area code) 216-263-3700	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY PVPO NUMBER 8800122
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME <u>Triticum aestivum</u> L.	7. FAMILY NAME (Botanical) Graminae		FILING DATE <u>April 11, 1988</u> TIME <u>9:30</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A.M. <input type="checkbox"/> P.M.
8. KIND NAME Soft Red Winter Wheat	9. DATE OF DETERMINATION 9/12/86		FEES RECEIVED AMOUNT FOR FILING \$ <u>1800.00</u> DATE <u>April 11, 1988</u> AMOUNT FOR CERTIFICATE \$ <u>200.00</u> DATE <u>Mar. 22, 1989</u>
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORGANIZATION (Corporation, partnership, association, etc.) Agricultural Experiment Station			
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION			12. DATE OF INCORPORATION

13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION AND RECEIVE ALL PAPERS

Dr. H. N. Lafever  
Agronomy Department  
The Ohio State University, Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center  
Wooster, OH 44691  
PHONE (Include area code): 216-263-3886

14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED

- a. ☒ Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety (See Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Act.)  
 b. ☒ Exhibit B, Novelty Statement.  
 c. ☒ Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety (Request form from Plant Variety Protection Office.)  
 d. ☒ Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety.  
 e. ☒ Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership.

15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Act.)

☒ Yes (If "Yes," answer items 16 and 17 below) ☐ No

16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?

☒ Yes ☐ No

17. IF "YES" TO ITEM 16, WHICH CLASSES OF PRODUCTION BEYOND BREEDER SEED?

☒ Foundation ☒ Registered ☒ Certified

18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THE VARIETY IN THE U.S.?

☐ Yes (If "Yes," give date)☒ No

19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OR MARKETING IN THE U.S. OR OTHER COUNTRIES?

U.S., September, 1987. (Sold as Foundation generation seed to producers of Registered or Certified class seed)

☒ Yes (If "Yes," give names of countries and dates)☐ No

20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic seeds of this variety will be furnished with the application and will be replenished upon request in accordance with such regulations as may be applicable.

The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this sexually reproduced novel plant variety, and believe(s) that the variety is distinct, uniform, and stable as required in Section 41, and is entitled to protection under the provisions of Section 42 of the Plant Variety Protection Act.

Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation herein can jeopardize protection and result in penalties.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

Howard N. Lofner (Breeder)

DATE

2-16-88

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

Jack M. Hollander  
Jack M. Hollander, Vice President for Research & Graduate Studies

DATE

4/1/88

Exhibit AOrigin and Breeding History of the Variety

1. Dynasty (previously designated as OH265) originated at The Ohio State University, Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center from the complex cross: B.E.1-5/Logan//Arthur/3/NY5726aB-3B-23/TN1403. The final cross was made in 1970 and designated 10570. Dynasty was first selected in 1973 as an  $F_3$  plant, reselected in 1975 ( $F_4$ ) and 1976 ( $F_6$ ) as single heads. It was reselected in 1981 in the  $F_{11}$  generation as described below.
2. Breeder seed of Dynasty consists of the bulking of the descendants of 46 lines selected in 1981 ( $F_{11}$ ). These 46 lines appeared uniform in head rows observed in 1982 and were bulked for seeding in the fall of 1982. (A total of 82 heads were selected in 1981 and grown in head rows in 1982.) Increases were made in drill strips in 1983-5, with Breeder seed production in 1986. Foundation generation seed was produced in 1987 with the first distribution of Foundation generation seed made in the fall, 1987 to producers of Registered or Certified classes of seed.
3. Dynasty appears to be very uniform and homozygous as observed in the field over the past five seasons. This would be expected of the progeny of a single plant selected in the  $F_3$  generation, then reselected as a single plant in the  $F_4$  and  $F_6$  generations, followed by reselection in the  $F_{11}$  generation and examining the resulting headrows for uniformity.
4. Dynasty appears to be very stable and true breeding as evidenced by agronomic and pathological examination of the  $F_{12}$  through the  $F_{16}$  generations in special purification and/or increase nurseries.
5. Variants observed during the development of the variety were few in number and of various types. In the 1987 Foundation generation production fields a very few off-types were found, but included beardless plants and brown chaffed plants. The total of all off-type plants did not exceed .0125%. Roguing of all observed off-types was performed in the Breeder seed increase of 1985-86 and again in the Foundation generation increase of 1986-87. Criteria for selection during the multiplication and purification process in the  $F_{12}$ - $F_{15}$  generations allowed no variance from complete uniformity. If one off-type plant was observed in the head rows grown in 1981-82, the entire row was dropped. In the drill strip increases of 1982-83 through 1984-85, all off-type plants were rogued if the number did not exceed 5 per strip or the entire strip was discarded if more than five off-type plants were observed in any one drill strip.
6. The variety was selected primarily for high yielding ability. Additionally, selection for all other important agronomic, pathologic, and quality traits was exercised. The variety was tested and selected in comparison to varieties popular in Ohio, namely, Becker, Caldwell, Cardinal, Hart, Titan, and Tyler.

Exhibit BNovelty Statement and Botanical Description of the Variety

Dynasty is an awned, white chaffed variety. It exhibits large heads, medium kernels, and medium green foliage. The variety is moderately short, averaging about 2.5 cm shorter than Cardinal and 5 cm shorter than Titan, but 10 cm taller than Becker. It is moderately early in maturity, heading about 1 day earlier than Becker and 2 days earlier than Cardinal. Straw strength of the variety has been excellent, approximately equal to that of Cardinal and Hart. Winterhardiness of Dynasty is also excellent. Test weight of Dynasty is excellent, averaging higher than all check varieties except Hart. The yield record of Dynasty is excellent.

The USDA Soft Wheat Quality Laboratory, Wooster, Ohio in evaluations of samples of Dynasty has found it to possess excellent milling and very good baking quality.

Dynasty possesses good field resistance to leaf rust (*Puccinia recondita*), but is only moderately resistant to powdery mildew (*Erysiphe graminis*). It is also very resistant to wheat spindle streak mosaic virus (WSSM). Dynasty possesses no resistance to currently prevalent races of Hessian fly (*Mayetolia destructor*).

Dynasty most closely resembles Hart, however, it possesses much better leaf rust and mildew resistance and does not possess the  $H_3$  gene for Hessian fly resistance present in Hart.

Table 1. Comparative yields in bushels per acre of Dynasty with currently grown varieties in drilled plot trials by years, Ohio.

Variety	1982 3 tests	1983 7 tests	1984 6 tests	1985 6 tests	1986 7 tests	Avg. 29 tests
Becker	66.3	63.5	56.5	83.3	58.2	65.2
Caldwell	60.9	--	--	--	55.2	--
Cardinal	64.9	64.3	63.5	84.0	56.6	66.4
GR 863	68.0	60.3	58.0	85.2 <sup>1</sup>	51.8	63.7
Hart <sup>1</sup>	68.9	57.7	55.3	78.3 <sup>1</sup>	56.2	62.3
Titan	62.3	60.1	51.3	77.9	55.9	61.2
Tyler	70.2	64.2	57.5	75.3	57.9	64.2
Dynasty	69.9	61.3	60.8	83.2	58.6	66.0

<sup>1</sup> No 1985 data. Yields adjusted based on relative performance in other years.

Table 2. Comparative yields in bushels per acre of Dynasty with currently grown varieties in drilled plot trials by locations, Ohio.

Variety	OARDC 1982-86	N.W.Br. 1982-86	W.Br. 1982-86	Mah. Co. 1983-86	S.Br. 1983-86	OFS 1983,86	Vg.Cr.Br. 1983-86	Avg. (29 tests)
Becker	67.2	76.9	55.0	54.2	54.6	57.6	86.1	65.2
Cardinal	68.2	83.1	53.6	54.5	56.9	58.6	84.9	66.4
GR 863	64.9	79.6	51.5	54.4	52.5	55.4	82.5	63.7
Hart <sup>1</sup>	62.5	75.4	50.7	53.0	52.7	58.6	80.7	62.3
Titan	61.9	69.7	49.9	56.5	50.7	57.8	80.8	61.2
Tyler	69.5	78.7	49.8	54.3	48.7	59.4	85.4	64.2
Dynasty	69.2	83.4	56.1	50.9	51.9	61.6	83.7	66.0

<sup>1</sup> No 1985 data. Yields adjusted based on relative performance in other years. (OARDC is at Wooster, N.W.Br. at Custar, W.Br. at S. Charleston, Mah. Co. at Canfield, S.Br. at Ripley, OFS at Croton, and Vg.Cr.Br. at Fremont.)

Table 3. Comparative performance of Dynasty with currently grown varieties in drilled plot trials, Ohio, 1982-1986. (Average of 29 tests.)

Variety	Winter Survival (%)	Pl. Height (in.)	Date Headed (May)	Lodging (%)	Test Wt. (lb/bu)
Becker	95	31	24.7	2	56.2
Cardinal	96	36	25.1	3	57.8
GR 863	95	31	21.1	0	56.7
Hart <sup>1</sup>	96	36	23.3	3	58.2
Titan	93	37	27.5	13	57.2
Tyler	96	38	24.4	7	57.2
Dynasty	97	35	23.8	3	58.0

<sup>1</sup> No 1985 data. Averages adjusted based on relative performance in remaining years.

Table 4. Comparative performance of Dynasty with currently grown varieties in miscellaneous Ohio tests.

Variety	H.F. Res.	% Mildew 14 tests- 6 yrs	WSSM <sup>2</sup> 5 tests- 4 yrs	Leaf Rust 10 tests- 4 yrs	Al tolerance		Quality (5 yrs)	
					Yield (% of Seneca) 4 yrs	Visual score <sup>3</sup> 6 yrs	Milling	Baking
Becker	A,C	67	1	6 MR	69	4	B-	B+
Cardinal	A,C	30	1	tr VR	99	4	A+	A
GR 863	A,C	2	2	8 R	75	4	B+	D-
Hart <sup>1</sup>	A,C	68	1	47 S	36	8	C	E+
Titan	A,C	26	2	14 MR	75	4	B-	E+
Tyler	None	1	1	50 S	--	3	A	E+
Dynasty	None	15	1	4 VR	65	6	A-	C

<sup>1</sup> No 1985 data. Average adjusted based on relative performance in remaining tests.

<sup>2</sup> 0 = none to 9 = severe.

<sup>3</sup> 0 = very tolerant to 9 = sensitive.

Table 5. Results of state-wide drilled plot yield trials including Ohio advanced wheat lines, 1987. (In order by average yield in 6 tests.)

Entry	Yield (bu/A)												
	OARDC (Wooster)	N.Western Br. (Custar)	Western Br.(S. (Cha'ston)	Mahoning Co. Farm (Canfield)	Veg.Crops Br. (Fremont)	Southern Br. (Ripley)	Avg. Yield 6 Tests	Avg. Survival (%)	Avg.Date Headed (May)	Avg. Pl.Ht. (in.)	Avg. Lodg. (%)	Avg. Leaf Rust <sup>1</sup>	Avg. Test Wt. (lb/bu)
GR876	76.8	68.0	76.8	50.0	89.7	47.1	68.1	96	26	32	7	2VR	57.0
OH 374	75.9	70.8	80.6	42.4	84.1	46.6	66.7	95	26	33	9	1R	56.3
Dynasty	70.4	76.2	77.2	51.7	73.0	42.8	65.2	97	23	35	19	3VR	56.3
Becker	73.3	77.0	80.7	50.6	61.6	44.5	64.6	97	25	31	6	13MR	54.9
Cardinal	71.7	70.2	79.0	52.2	70.0	44.0	64.5	95	24	36	17	2MR	55.6
OH 375	73.4	64.3	74.6	46.0	83.4	45.1	64.5	92	26	33	10	1R	56.2
OH 394	72.3	69.6	71.5	51.3	75.1	45.2	64.2	96	25	30	12	2R	54.7
OH 331	69.7	65.9	74.2	42.7	86.8	45.5	64.1	95	23	34	9	2MR	55.3
OH 286	70.3	67.4	77.6	50.1	72.6	41.9	63.3	96	24	33	9	1R	53.9
Tyler	71.7	56.9	81.5	46.2	72.9	36.5	61.0	96	24	37	29	50S	55.6
Caldwell	66.1	67.2	76.6	45.3	63.8	41.8	60.1	95	22	34	35	1R	56.2
OH 337	67.5	49.0	70.3	49.2	79.5	43.5	59.8	95	24	34	2	11MR	53.6
Titan	71.3	63.7	72.2	48.4	54.4	37.2	57.9	95	26	37	36	11MS	54.6
OH 328	61.6	42.6	73.4	49.4	69.9	42.8	56.6	96	24	32	26	8MS	55.3
OH 285	66.6	32.5	78.2	47.5	74.8	39.2	56.5	95	23	38	8	1VR	56.7
OH 336	66.1	27.1	69.1	39.5	64.2	44.5	51.8	94	22	34	16	3MS	55.1
$\bar{x}$	70.3	60.5	75.8	47.7	73.5	43.0	61.8	95	24	34	16	--	55.5
5% L.S.D.	3.3	4.1	3.4	6.8	5.5	4.1							

<sup>1</sup> % - class (VR = very resistant, R = resistant, MR = mod. resistant, MS = mod. susceptible, S = susceptible). Data shown is average for Wooster and Ripley, the only locations with leaf rust in 1987.

8800122

6





## 11. HEAD:

☐ 2 Density: 1 = LAX 2 = DENSE

☐ 4 Shape: 1 = TAPERING 2 = STRAP 3 = CLAVATE  
4 = OTHER (Specify) fusiform to elongate
☐ 4 Awnedness: 1 = AWNLESS 2 = APICALLY AWNLETED 3 = AWNLETED 4 = AWNED

☐ 1 Color at maturity: 1 = WHITE 2 = YELLOW 3 = PINK 4 = RED  
5 = BROWN 6 = BLACK 7 = OTHER (Specify): \_\_\_\_\_

☐ 9 CM. LENGTH

☐ 1 ☐ 0 MM. WIDTH

## 12. GLUMES AT MATURITY:

☐ 3 Length: 1 = SHORT (CA. 7 mm.) 2 = MEDIUM (CA. 8 mm.)  
3 = LONG (CA. 9 mm.)

☐ 2 Width: 1 = NARROW (CA. 3 mm.) 2 = MEDIUM (CA. 3.5 mm.)  
3 = WIDE (CA. 4 mm.)

☐ 4 Shoulder shape: 1 = WANTING 2 = OBLIQUE 3 = ROUNDED  
4 = SQUARE 5 = ELEVATED 6 = APICULATE

☐ 3 Beak: 1 = OBTUSE 2 = ACUTE 3 = ACUMINATE

## 13. COLEOPTILE COLOR:

☐ 1 1 = WHITE 2 = RED 3 = PURPLE

## 14. SEEDLING ANTHOCYANIN:

☐ 1 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT

## 15. JUVENILE PLANT GROWTH HABIT:

☐ 1 1 = PROSTRATE 2 = SEMI-ERECT 3 = ERECT

## 16. SEED:

☐ 1 Shape: 1 = OVATE 2 = OVAL 3 = ELLIPTICAL

☐ 1 Check: 1 = ROUNDED 2 = ANGULAR

☒ 5 Brush: 1 = SHORT 2 = MEDIUM 3 = LONG

☐ 1 Brush: 1 = NOT COLLARED 2 = COLLARED

☐ 5 Phenol reaction (See instructions): 1 = IVORY 2 = FAWN 3 = LT. BROWN  
4 = BROWN 5 = BLACK

☐ 3 Color: 1 = WHITE 2 = AMBER 3 = RED 4 = PURPLE 5 = OTHER (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ 6 ☐ 1/2 MM. LENGTH

☐ 0 ☐ 3 MM. WIDTH

☐ 3 ☐ 0 GM. PER 1000 SEEDS

## 17. SEED CREASE:

☐ 1 Width: 1 = 60% OR LESS OF KERNEL 'WINOKA'  
2 = 80% OR LESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'  
3 = NEARLY AS WIDE AS KERNEL 'LEMHI'

☐ 2 Depth: 1 = 20% OR LESS OF KERNEL 'SCOUT'  
2 = 35% OR LESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'  
3 = 50% OR LESS OF KERNEL 'LEMHI'

## 18. DISEASE: (0 = Not Tested, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)

☐ 0 STEM RUST (Races) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ 2 LEAF RUST (Races) \_\_\_\_\_ (field)

☐ 0 STRIPE RUST (Races) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ 2 LOOSE SMUT

☐ 2 POWDERY MILDEW

☐ 0 BUNT

☐ 2 OTHER (Specify) WSSM virus

## 19. INSECT: (0 = Not Tested, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)

☐ 0 SAWFLY

☐ 0 APHID (Bydv.)

☐ 0 GREEN BUG

☐ 0 CEREAL LEAF BEETLE

☐ OTHER (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_ HESSIAN FLY  
RACES:

☐ 2 GP ☐ 0 A

☐ 0 B ☐ 0 C

☐ 0 D ☐ 0 E

☐ 0 F ☐ 0 G

## 20. INDICATE WHICH VARIETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT SUBMITTED:

CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY
Plant tillering	Titan	Seed size	Adena
Leaf size	Adena	Seed shape	Adena
Leaf color	Numerous	Coleoptile elongation	Titan
Leaf carriage	Numerous	Seedling pigmentation	Cardinal

## INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL: The following publications may be used as a reference aid for the standardization of terms and procedures for completing this form:

- (a) L.W. Briggie and L. P. Reitz, 1963, Classification of Triticum Species and Wheat Varieties Grown in the United States, Technical Bulletin 1278, United States Department of Agriculture.
- (b) W.E. Walls, 1965, A Standardized Phenol Method for Testing Wheat Seeds for Varietal Purity, contribution No. 28 to the handbook of seed testing prepared by the Association of Official Seed Analysts. (See attachment.)

LEAF COLOR: Nickerson's or any recognized color fan should be used to determine the leaf color of the described variety.

Exhibit DAdditional Description of the Variety

Heads of Dynasty are best described as dense (Item 11, Exhibit C), however, they are more accurately described as intermediate in density. Heads are mostly erect at maturity.

Plant color at booting is best described as green (Item 6, Exhibit C), however, it is more accurately described as grey-green.

Number of stem nodes is best described as five (Item 8, Exhibit C), however, frequently only four nodes occur.

Auricle anthocyanin is best described as absent (Item 9, Exhibit C), however, occasionally a slight amount is present.

The flag leaf is best described as not twisted (Item 10, Exhibit C), however, often slight twisting is observed.

Glume beak length (data not requested in Exhibit C) is usually only 1-3 mm.

The Ohio State University  
Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center  
Wooster, Ohio

RELEASE OF DYNASTY SOFT RED WINTER WHEAT

The Ohio State University, Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center announces the release of 'Dynasty' soft red winter wheat, a new, productive cultivar for Ohio and surrounding areas.

Dynasty (previously designated OH 265) resulted from the complex cross: B.E.1-5/Logan//Arthur/3/N.Y.5726aB-3B-23/TN1403. First selected in 1973 as an F<sub>3</sub> plant, Dynasty was reselected in 1974 as a single F<sub>4</sub> plant and again in 1976 as an F<sub>6</sub> plant. Breeder seed consists of the progeny of 46 plants reselected in the F<sub>11</sub> generation in 1981. Progeny of these 46 plants were increased and examined for uniformity and yield in 1982 through 1985, then bulked for use in producing Breeder seed in 1985-86. Breeder seed was offered to other North Central states in fall, 1986 and Foundation seed is now under production in Illinois, Indiana, and Ohio for distribution to seedsmen in the fall of 1987.

Dynasty was first tested in advanced Ohio trials in 1982. At the end of the 1986 season it had been tested in 29 state-wide, drilled-plot trials over a 5-year period in Ohio. Dynasty also was an entry in the Uniform Eastern Soft Red Winter Wheat Nursery in 1986.

Dynasty is a bearded, white chaffed variety with large heads and medium sized kernels. It is of medium height, medium early maturity and high test weight. Straw strength appears equal to or better than all varieties of comparison except Becker and GR 863. Winterhardiness of Dynasty is excellent, slightly exceeding all comparison varieties in Ohio tests over 5 years. Yields of Dynasty have exceeded all comparison varieties except Cardinal in 5 years of Ohio tests and average only .4 bu/a below the yield of Cardinal. (See Tables 1-4 for data summarizations.)

The USDA Soft Wheat Quality Laboratory, Wooster, Ohio in evaluations of grain samples of Dynasty has found it to possess excellent milling quality and good baking quality.

Dynasty possesses moderate resistance to powdery mildew (Erysiphe graminis) and very good resistance to leaf rust (Puccinia recondita). It is also very resistant to wheat spindle streak mosaic virus (WSSM). It possesses no resistance to currently prevalent races of Hessian fly (Mayetola destructor), therefore it should only be seeded after the fly safe date in each region of production.

Application for Plant Variety Protection under the certification option will be made for Dynasty. The certification option specifies that seed of the variety will be sold by variety name only as a class of certified seed. The classes Foundation, Registered, and Certified will be allowed beyond Breeder seed.

8800122

Breeder seed of Dynasty will be maintained by The Ohio State University, Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center, Wooster, OH 44691.

Release of information to the general public regarding the name, release, or description of Dynasty may be made at any time.

Frederick E. Hutchinson  
Frederick E. Hutchinson, Director  
The Ohio State University  
Ohio Agricultural Research and  
Development Center

April 7, 1987  
Date

Quality Evaluation of Dynasty  
(Data taken from U.S.D.A. Soft Wheat Laboratory Reports)

Soft wheat quality tests of composite samples of 9 lines and varieties grown at 7 locations in 1986 in Ohio revealed that Dynasty received a combined quality score of 93.5. Comparative scores for Becker, Caldwell, Cardinal and Titan were 82.4, 100, 81.2 and 69.7, respectively.

In evaluations of composite samples of 32 lines and varieties grown at 7 locations in the Eastern U.S. in the Uniform Eastern Nursery, Dynasty received a combined quality score of 104.6. The check varieties in this nursery, Trumbull, Knox 62, and Oasis received a combined quality score of 84.1, 100, and 95.2, respectively. Dynasty ranked fifth overall among 32 entries in this nursery in combined quality score.

The average overall milling grade for Dynasty in evaluations of composite samples taken from three to seven locations in Ohio each year for a period of 5 years was A-, while the average overall baking grade was C. Comparative milling grades for other varieties in these same nurseries were B-, A+, C, and B- for Becker, Cardinal, Hart and Titan, respectively. Comparative baking scores were B+, A, E+, and E+ for Becker, Cardinal, Hart, and Titan, respectively.

No 1987 quality data is yet available.

These and other tests reveal that the milling quality of Dynasty is excellent and the baking quality is very good.

(See attached Tables 1-2).

1986 CROP  
WOOSTER, OHIO  
ADVANCED VARIETIES  
STANDARD = 86002, CALDWELL

8800122

Table 1. Wheat, milling, and flour analytical and baking data, and quality scores. Drill plot entries for 7 location composite samples from OARDC/OSU, 1986 crop.

WHEAT AND MILLING DATA

LAB NO.	ENTRY	MILLING QUALITY SCORE	BAKING QUALITY SCORE	COMBINED QUALITY SCORE	TEST WT.	BREAK FLOUR YIELD	ST.GR. FLOUR YIELD	RED. PASSES	FRIABILITY	E.S.I.	MILLABILITY
***	STANDARD	100 A	100 A	100 A	59.6	37.7	76.3	7	29.2	10.9	114.3
***	BENCHMARK	100.2A	105 A	100.2A	61.6	35.6Q	76.3	7	28 Q	10.4	114.1
001 1	BECKER	86.3 D	82.4 E	82.4 E	57.9Q	35.7Q	75.4*	7	27.6Q	11.8	92.6 Q
002 2	CALDWELL	100 A	100 A	100 A	59.6	37.7	76.3	7	29.2	10.9	114.3
003 4	TITAN	89.6 D	69.7 F	69.7 F	60	33.4Q	74.9*	7	27.5Q	11.8	97.5 *
004 6	CARDINAL	102.6A	81.2 E	81.2 E	60.5	31.8Q	77.5	7	29.4	9.3	121.3
005 8	OH 257	93 C	69.7 F	69.7 F	60.7	28.5Q	75.7	7	28.1Q	11	105.6
006 11	OH 265	94.3 C	93.5 C	93.5 C	60.7	36.6	75.8	7	28.7*	11.3	103.3*
007 12	OH 285	98.1 B	81.7 E	81.7 E	60.4	29.8Q	77.4	7	29.7	8.8	114.4
008 13	OH 286	93.9 C	105.7A	93.9 C	58.1Q	39.9	75.6	7	28.6*	11.4	103.8*
009 16	OH 328	99.1 B	78 F	78 F	60.4	30.9Q	77.3	7	29.5	9.4	115.5

1986 CROP  
 WOOSTER, OHIO  
 ADVANCED VARIETIES  
 STANDARD = 86002, CALDWELL

Table 1. (Cont'd.)

## STRAIGHT-GRADE FLOUR

LAB NO.	FLOUR PROTEIN %	ASH %	MICRO AWRC %	COOKIE DIAMETER CM.	TOP GRAIN
***	8.95	.36	52.5	18.3	7
***	8.9	.35	51.3	18.35	7
001	8.66	.430	51.8	17.8 0	7
002	8.95	.36	52.5	18.3	7
003	9.66*	.39*	52.3	17.590	6
004	9.61*	.37	49.9	17.730	7
005	9.88*	.38*	52.2	17.6 0	6
006	9.49*	.4 0	50.8	18.08	6
007	9.42	.420	51.8	17.84*	5
008	10.10	.39*	48.8	18.31	7
009	10.40	.4 0	50.6	17.750	5

UNIFORM EASTERN RED NURSERY  
STANDARD = 86302, KNOX 62

Table 2. Wheat, milling, and flour analytical and baking data,  
and quality scores. Uniform Eastern Soft Red Winter  
Wheat Nursery, 7 location composite samples, 1986 crop.

## WHEAT AND MILLING DATA

LAB NO.	ENTRY	MILLING QUALITY SCORE	BAKING QUALITY SCORE	COMBINED QUALITY SCORE	TEST WT.	BREAK FLOUR YIELD	ST.GR. FLOUR YIELD	RED. PASSES	FRIABILITY	E.S.I.	MILLABILITY
***	STANDARD	100 A	100 A	100 A	59.6	33.7	75.2	7	27.6	12.1	98.1
***	BENCHMARK	112 A	110.6A	110.6A	61.6	35.6	76.3	7	28	10.4	114.1
301 1	TRUMBULL	98.4 B	84.1 E	84.1 E	59.8	30.0	76.1	8	27.3	11.9	97.4
302 2	KNOX 62	100 A	100 A	100 A	59.6	33.7	75.2	7	27.6	12.1	98.1
303 3	OASIS	103.6A	95.2 B	95.2 B	61.1	29.50	75.8	7	29	11.7	104.8
304 4	MD 72004	105.6A	102.8A	102.8A	60.5	31.10	76	7	28.8	10.8	107.3
305 2	9021L	96.3 B	95 B	95 B	58.9*	30.40	74.4	7	28	12	94.8
306 6	IN 76788G2-5-4-7	108.3A	103.3A	103.3A	60.8	33.4	76.3	7	29.2	10.6	105.9
307 7	MDW 10501	101.3A	101.4A	101.3A	61	32.7	75.8	7	28.2	11.7	99.3
308 8	IN 77249RC1-133-2	100.7A	100.8A	100.7A	60.3	32.3	75.6	7	28	11.9	99.3
309 9	ILL 81-3737	105.5A	94.9 C	94.9 C	60.8	29.20	76.6	7	28.2	10.8	107.8
310 10	MDW 11138	99.2 B	103 A	99.2 B	59.1	30.50	75.9	7	29.2	11.6	99
311 11	MD 55-220-76	105.5A	101.5A	101.5A	61.2	33.2	76.1	7	29	10.5	105.4
312 12	NA SW78-111	96.6 B	95 B	95 B	61.4	30.0	75.8	7	27 *	11.5	93.2
313 13	ILL 79-1385	102.7A	98.2 B	98.2 B	59.9	29.50	77.3	7	28.4	11.3	104.3
314 14	NA SW76-180	102.7A	99.4 B	99.4 B	59.9	33.1	75.5	7	28.5	11.1	102.3
315 15	PS 840026	97.7 B	95.1 B	95.1 B	59.9	30.50	75.3	7	27.8	12.6	95.9
316 16	COKE 85-42	105.8A	100 A	100 A	60.5	37	75.7	7	29.9	11.3	104.4
317 17	COKE 83-23 (P9323)	112.5A	105.1A	105.1A	59.7	33.9	77.3	7	30	9.9	117.2
318 18	MD 55-217-63	106.8A	99.2 B	99.2 B	60.1	31.50	76.3	7	28.6	10.4	109.3
319 19	KY 83-60	108.1A	96.6 B	96.6 B	60.5	27.10	77.4	7	29.8	10	113.3
320 20	COKE 84-33	101.1A	90.7 C	90.7 C	61	29.30	75.4	7	29.1	11.5	100.7
321 21	COKE 82-28	100.3A	97 B	97 B	61.1	32.1	75.7	7	28.4	11.6	97.9
322 22	OH 257	99 B	93.7 C	93.7 C	59.8	28.80	75.3	7	27.9	11.5	99
323 23	OH 265	104.6A	109.5A	104.6A	60	37.4	76	7	28.9	11.3	102.8
324 24	OH 285	114 A	103.2A	103.2A	60.2	29.80	77.4	7	29.7	8.7	123.3
325 25	IL 82-3298	102.8A	94.8 C	94.8 C	61.4	30.60	76	7	27.5	11.3	102.6
326 26	IL 82-2986	111.8A	94.8 C	94.8 C	61.4	29.20	77.2	7	28.9	10	117.2
327 27	X 1349-10	108.8A	109.2A	108.8A	60.6	37.1	76.4	7	29	10.9	108.9
328 28	NA SW76-261	97 B	90.9 C	90.9 C	58.9*	31.50	75.7	7	27.6	11.1	95.4
329 29	AT 42263-8	105.4A	104.6A	104.6A	59.8	35.9	75.3	7	28.5	11.8	105
330 30	AT 74107-F11-4	106.8A	101.3A	101.3A	59.9	30.40	76.8	7	28.8	10.8	110.1
331 31	AGC B8 (TRIO)	105.4A	105.2A	105.2A	59.5	36.6	75.8	7	28.1	11.4	105
332 32	AGC B9	100.4A	96.3 B	96.3 B	59.5	34.1	75	7	27.4	12.1	98.6



UNIFORM EASTERN RED NURSERY Table 2. (Cont'd.)  
STANDARD = 86302, KNOX 62

STRAIGHT-GRADE FLOUR							CAKE PATENT FLOUR							
LAB NO.	PROT. %	ASH %	MICRO AWRC %	COOKIE DIAM. CM.	TOP GRAIN	1	PROT. %	ASH %	INIT PH	FINAL PH	CHLORINE RESPONSE PH/ML/G	OPT. LIQUID LEVEL	CAKE VOLUME ML.	CAKE SCORE
***	10.9	.39	53	17.52	6	1	9.8	.28	5.71	4.82	2.827	130	1080	80
***	8.9	.35	51.3	18.35	7	1	7.65	.27	5.68	4.84	2.83	130	1048	87
301	11.5	.41	53.9	17.27*	6	1	10.3	.3	5.71	4.82	4.571	130	1001 0	82
302	10.9	.39	53	17.52	6	1	9.8	.28	5.71	4.82	2.827	130	1080	80
303	11.5	.39	55.2*	17.39	6	1	10.3	.28	5.77	4.81	2.678	130	1079	82
304	10.1	.39	52	17.5	6	1	9.07	.28	5.75	4.82	2.864	130	1066	84
305	10.3	.4	53.3	17.3	5	1	9.44	.27	5.73	4.81	2.841	120	1060	80
306	10.3	.39	53.5	17.81	6	1	9.24	.25	5.79	4.84	3.017	120	1071	80
307	10.1	.41	52.8	17.76	7	1	9.13	.3	5.83	4.8	2.618*	130	1041 *	84
308	10.2	.4	53.8	17.34	6	1	9.11	.29	5.72	4.84	2.718	120	1095	80
309	10.5	.39	54.1	17.3	7	1	9.4	.3	5.74	4.76	2.838	120	1065	80
310	10.7	.43*	53.2	17.58	6	1	9.43	.3	5.7	4.77	2.7	130	1084	82
311	10.5	.41	51.5	17.69	7	1	9.49	.26	5.83	4.76	2.973	130	1044 *	84
312	11	.43*	51.9	17.43	6	1	9.88	.3	5.74	4.78	2.698	120	1039 *	82
313	10.7	.42*	52.7	17.48	6	1	9.27	.29	5.83	4.78	2.782	130	1045	84
314	9.65	.4	51.2	17.62	6	1	8.8	.28	5.75	4.83	2.918	120	1039 *	80
315	10.6	.4	53.1	17.24*	7	1	9.48	.29	5.78	4.77	2.745	120	1065	80
316	9.58	.41	56.80	17.45	7	1	8.48	.29	5.77	4.84	2.844	120	1110	78
317	10.7	.39	51.2	17.71	5	1	9.66	.26	5.78	4.84	2.91	130	1076	82
318	10.4	.39	51	17.54	7	1	9.32	.28	5.79	4.82	2.592*	120	1045	80
319	10.9	.41	52.1	17.37	6	1	9.72	.28	5.75	4.79	2.645	130	1054	82
320	10.7	.41	49.7	17.08*	7	1	9.66	.28	5.87	4.78	2.59 *	130	1024 *	84
321	10.6	.42*	52.1	17.54	4	1	9.86	.31	5.83	4.8	2.774	120	1051	80
322	10.6	.4	53.5	17.43	6	1	9.17	.26	5.88	4.78	3.231	120	1029 *	82
323	9.82	.41	52.5	18	7	1	8.87	.27	5.73	4.79	3.063	120	1086	80
324	10.4	.37	49.3	17.98	6	1	9.58	.27	5.77	4.83	2.985	120	1037 *	82
325	9.82	.39	53.3	17.38	7	1	8.8	.28	5.91	4.82	3.366	130	1019 *	88
326	11.1	.37	51.4	17.65	6	1	9.96	.27	5.78	4.8	3.008	120	1022 *	80
327	9.42	.39	54.5	17.84	6	1	8.51	.3	5.78	4.83	3.071	120	1089	88
328	9.88	.43*	52.1	17.07*	5	1	8.95	.35	5.8	4.8	2.789	130	1015 *	86
329	9.82	.37	53	17.65	6	1	8.85	.26	5.79	4.83	3.304	120	1080	82
330	10.3	.39	51.8	17.49	6	1	9.25	.28	5.83	4.83	3.124	120	1065	82
331	9.57	.38	55.4*	17.56	7	1	8.57	.29	5.78	4.83	3.291	120	1106	84
332	10.5	.38	55.1*	17.18*	5	1	9.43	.27	5.75	4.82	2.987	120	1088	82

Exhibit EStatement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership

The originating complex cross, early line evaluation, selection, reselection, testing purification, and final multiplication were all performed by the applicant breeder (Dr. H. N. Lafever) with the assistance of technical support personnel on the property of The Ohio State University, Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center utilizing funds provided for such research. The variety is intended for release as a public variety in the United States.